

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated October 14, 2004.

The Examiner has objected to the specification. A substitute specification in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52(a) and (b) is enclosed for the Examiner's approval. The substitute specification contains no new matter.

Further, the Examiner has rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claim 1 has been canceled and replaced with new claim 2 to overcome this rejection.

Moreover, the Examiner has rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 has been canceled and replaced with new claim 2 to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claim clearly and distinctly patentably defines over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for examination, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



SIGNATURE

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

January 14, 2005